

Chain Bridge Hotel, Berwyn  
Langollen.


Friday.

May 16. 1902.

Dear Sayle

Again I bother you. Would you let me know per card whether in that piece of indulgence in U.L.C [Pynson Feb. 2. 1499] the first line beginning "rē idoneū secularē" is on the same piece of vellum as the next "indulgentiā & remissionē" As far as I can make out from similar indulgences the first should be line 4 and the next about line 11 or 10.

The sentence "ut confessorum idoneum. secularum" etc only occurs in ordinary direct indulgences



which yours I think must have been, and  
not as suggested, merely a notice to say  
that indulgences might be obtained.

Sorry to bother you.

Yrs sincerely.

E. Jordan Duff.

re idoneū secularē vel regularē eligere possitis q̄ confessione v̄ra diligēter  
indulgentiā & remissionē in mortis articulo auctoritate apostolica  
habitis. Ita q̄ in quibuscumq̄ locis ad quoslibet terminos & ipsorum locos huius  
uatis toties quoties opus fuerit auctoritate apostolica absolueret. Necno  
tpe emissa Hierosolimitanū/Visitationis liminū Apostolorū petri & pauli: ac  
rat exceptis. Etia pegrinationis scti Jacobi in cōpostella/ ac stinētie & casti  
tatis opa cōmutare valeat/ prout scdm deū aīaz v̄raz saluti viderit ex  
liberā auctoritate p̄fata facultatē & potestatē damus & elargimur. In qu  
p̄ntes l̄ras fieri/ ac Sigilli n̄ri quo ad hec vtimur iussim⁹ appēsiōe cōmu  
domo nostre solite residentie. Scdo die mensis Februarii. Anno dñi. M.

The indulgence fragment Feb. 2 1499 is of another  
edition, and different from the Oxford copy.

Σ. 2. 5.

To

C. Sayle Esq.

University library

Cambridge.

